IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GUY SILEO, JR.,

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 12-3803

GERALD L. ROZUM, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 23rd day of November 2015, upon consideration of the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus and Memorandum (Doc. No. 1), the Revised Petition with accompanying exhibits (Doc. No. 3), Respondents' Response in Opposition (Doc. No. 16), Petitioner's amendment of his second claim (Doc. No. 17), Petitioner's Reply to the Response (Doc. No. 18), the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 27), Petitioner's Objections to the Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 28), Respondents' Response in Opposition to Petitioner's Objections (Doc. No. 34), the transcript of the hearing held on April 29, 2015 (Doc. No. 39), Respondents' Post-Argument Brief in Opposition to Petitioner's Objections (Doc. No. 42), Petitioner's Reply to Respondents' Post-Argument Brief (Doc. No. 43), and the relevant state court record, and in accordance with the Opinion of the Court issued this day, it is ORDERED as follows:

- 1. The Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Strawbridge (Doc. No. 27) is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**;
- 2. Petitioner's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. No. 1) is **DENIED**;
- 3. A certificate of appealability will not be issued because, based on the analysis contained in Judge Strawbridge's Report and Recommendation, as approved and adopted by this Court, and based on the analysis contained in the Opinion of the

Court, a reasonable jurist could not conclude that the Court is incorrect in denying and dismissing the habeas petition.

4. The Clerk of Court shall close the above-captioned case for statistical purposes.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Joel H. Slomsky JOEL H. SLOMSKY, J.